數學教育第二十五期 (12/2007)

Several Proofs of Ceva's Theorem by Students

POON Wai Hoi Bobby St. Paul's College

Introduction

In this article, some proofs of Ceva's Theorem by students are presented. The proofs were taken from the homework given to the students in a Geometry workshop held in the summer 2007.

Ceva's Theorem. Let *P* , *Q* and *R* be points on the side *BC* , *CA* and *AB* of triangle *ABC* respectively. If *AP* , *BQ* and *CR* are concurrent, then $\frac{1}{100} \cdot \frac{B_1}{B_2} \cdot \frac{C_2}{A_1} = 1$ *QA CQ PC BP RB AR* .

Figure 1

The proofs of Ceva's Theorem that appeared in most classical geometry books are similar to the proof below, which involved ratios of areas of triangles.

The Usual Proof of Ceva's Theorem

Let *S* be the point of concurrency (figure 1). For simplicity, let [*XYZ*] denote the area of any triangle *XYZ* . Since the areas of triangles with same height are proportional to bases, we have $[ARC]$ $[RBS]$ $[ARS]$ *RBC ARC RBS ARS RB AR* $=\frac{[I^{\text{I}}(I^{\text{II}}(U))]}{[I^{\text{II}}(U)]}=\frac{[I^{\text{II}}(U)]}{[I^{\text{II}}(U)]}.$ By property of proportions, $\frac{1}{RB} = \frac{1}{[RBC] - [RBS]} = \frac{1}{[CSB]}$ $[ASC]$ $[RBC] - [RBS]$ $[ARC] - [ARS]$ *CSB ASC RBC RBS ARC ARS RB AR* = − − $=\frac{[ABC]}{[DBC] [DBC]} = \frac{[CCD]}{[CCD]}$

Similarly,
$$
\frac{BP}{PC} = \frac{[BSA]}{[ASC]}
$$
 and $\frac{CQ}{QA} = \frac{[CSB]}{[BSA]}$.
So, $\frac{AR}{RB} \cdot \frac{BP}{PC} \cdot \frac{CQ}{QA} = \frac{[ASC]}{[CSB]} \cdot \frac{[BSA]}{[ASC]} \cdot \frac{[CSB]}{[BSA]} = 1$.

Six Different Proofs of Ceva's Theorem by the Students

Proof 1. (by Monzon Abel, Chan Chi Fai, Chan Ka Lun, Chan Wing Yan Joyce, Hui Chiu Kit, Hui Man Wa, Wendy Chow, Kan Ka Yin, Lam Ching Yan, Lam Hiu Yue, Leung Ka Hei, Lo Ching Hoi, Mok Tung Hoi, Ning Man Chit, So Tsz Chung, Tan Pak Chiu, Nicole Tin, Tsang Ting Ho, Wong Cheuk Kwan, Wong Ching, Wong See Wai, Yu Lik Hang and Anglea Wu)

Figure 2

Let *X* and *Y* be points on *BQ* produced and *CR* produced respectively such that *XAY* is a straight line parallel to *BC* (figure 2).

As ∆*ARY* ~ ∆*BRC* and ∆*AQX* ~ ∆*CQB* , we have *BC YA RB AR* $=\frac{4H}{BC}$ and $\frac{CQ}{QA} = \frac{BC}{AX}$ *BC QA CQ* $=\frac{BC}{AV}$.

As
$$
\triangle ASX \sim \triangle PSB
$$
 and $\triangle ASY \sim \triangle PSC$, we have
\n
$$
\frac{BP}{AX} = \frac{PS}{SA} = \frac{PC}{YA}
$$
, and so
$$
\frac{BP}{PC} = \frac{AX}{YA}
$$

\nHence
$$
\frac{AR}{RB} \cdot \frac{BP}{PC} \cdot \frac{CQ}{QA} = \frac{YA}{BC} \cdot \frac{AX}{YA} \cdot \frac{BC}{AX} = 1
$$
.

數學教育第二十五期 (12/2007)

Proof 2. (by Kwan Chin Wai, Tai Wai Ming)

Figure 3

Let *X* and *Y* be points on *BQ* produced and *CR* produced respectively such that *BY* and *CX* are both parallel to *PA* (figure 3).

As
$$
\triangle ARS \sim \triangle BRY
$$
 and $\triangle AQS \sim \triangle CQX$, we have

$$
\frac{AR}{RB} = \frac{SA}{BY}
$$
 and
$$
\frac{CQ}{QA} = \frac{CX}{SA}
$$
.

As *AP* // *XC* and $\triangle BYS \sim \triangle XCS$, we have $\frac{BP}{PC} = \frac{BS}{SX} = \frac{BY}{CX}$ *SX BS PC BP* $=\frac{BU}{CV}=\frac{DI}{CV}$. Hence $\frac{2R}{BD} \cdot \frac{B}{BC} \cdot \frac{CQ}{CA} = \frac{B}{DV} \cdot \frac{B}{CV} \cdot \frac{CA}{CA} = 1$ *SA CX CX BY BY SA QA CQ PC BP RB AR* .

Proof 3. (by Kan Ka Wing)

Figure 4

Let *X* and *Y* be points on the line *BC* such that *AX* // *BQ* and *AY* // *RC* (figure 4).

As
$$
\triangle CQB \sim \triangle CAX
$$
 and $\triangle BRC \sim \triangle BAY$, we have

$$
\frac{CQ}{QA} = \frac{BC}{BX} \text{ and } \frac{AR}{RB} = \frac{CY}{BC}.
$$

As
$$
\triangle BPS \sim \triangle XPA
$$
 and $\triangle PSC \sim \triangle PAY$, we have
\n
$$
\frac{BP}{XB} = \frac{PS}{SA} = \frac{PC}{CY}
$$
, and so
$$
\frac{BP}{PC} = \frac{XB}{CY}
$$
.
\nHence
$$
\frac{AR}{RB} \cdot \frac{BP}{PC} \cdot \frac{CQ}{QA} = \frac{CY}{BC} \cdot \frac{BX}{CY} \cdot \frac{BC}{BX} = 1
$$
.

Proof 4. (by Wong Wai Lung)

Figure 5

Let *X* and *Y* be points on *BC* such that *XR* and *YQ* are both parallel to *PA* . Let *I* be the point of intersection of *BQ* and *XR* , and *J* be the point of intersection of *CR* and *YQ* (figure 5).

By the intercept theorem, we have $\frac{PR}{RB} = \frac{PR}{XB}$ *PX RB AR* $=\frac{Y}{XB}$ and $\frac{CQ}{QA} = \frac{C}{YP}$ *CY QA CQ* $=\frac{U_{I}}{V_{D}}$. As *XR* // *PA* and $\triangle BIR \sim \triangle BSA$, we have $\frac{PB}{XB} = \frac{SB}{IB} = \frac{AS}{RI}$ *IB SB XB PB* $=\frac{5b}{D}=\frac{15}{D}$. As *YQ* // *PA* and $\triangle C JQ \sim \triangle CSA$, we have $\frac{CY}{CP} = \frac{CJ}{CS} = \frac{QJ}{AS}$ *CS CJ CP CY* $=\frac{C_0}{C_0}=\frac{Q_0}{AC}$.

數學教育第二十五期 (12/2007)

Hence
$$
\frac{AR}{RB} \cdot \frac{BP}{PC} \cdot \frac{CQ}{QA} = \frac{PX}{XB} \cdot \frac{BP}{PC} \cdot \frac{CY}{YP} = \frac{BP}{XB} \cdot \frac{CY}{PC} \cdot \frac{PX}{YP}
$$

$$
= \frac{AS}{RI} \cdot \frac{QJ}{AS} \cdot \frac{RS}{SJ} = \frac{QJ}{RI} \cdot \frac{RS}{SJ} = 1
$$

The last equality follows as ∆*RIS*~ ∆*JQS* .

Proof 5. (by Hui Shun On)

Figure 6

Let *X* be the point on *AB* such that *PX* is parallel to *CR* . Let *Y* be the point on *AC* such that *PY* is parallel to *BQ* (figure 6).

By the intercept theorem, we have
\n
$$
\frac{AR}{RX} = \frac{AS}{SP} = \frac{AQ}{QY}, \quad \frac{RX}{RB} = \frac{PC}{BC} \text{ and } \frac{CQ}{YQ} = \frac{BC}{BP}.
$$
\nHence
\n
$$
\frac{AR}{RB} \cdot \frac{BP}{PC} \cdot \frac{CQ}{QA} = \left(\frac{AR}{RX} \cdot \frac{RX}{RB}\right) \cdot \frac{BP}{PC} \cdot \left(\frac{YQ}{QA} \cdot \frac{CQ}{YQ}\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{AQ}{QY} \cdot \frac{PC}{BC} \cdot \frac{BP}{PC} \cdot \frac{YQ}{QA} \cdot \frac{BC}{BP} = 1.
$$

Proof 6. (by Leung Yeung Yeung)

Let *X* and *Y* be points on *AP* produced such that *BX* is parallel to *RC* and *CY* is parallel to *QB* (figure 7).

By the intercept theorem, we have

$$
\frac{AR}{RB} = \frac{AS}{SX} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{CQ}{QA} = \frac{SY}{AS} \quad .
$$

Figure 7

As
$$
\triangle BPS \sim \triangle CPY
$$
 and $\triangle BSX \sim \triangle CYS$, we have
\n
$$
\frac{BP}{PC} = \frac{SB}{CY} = \frac{SX}{SY}
$$
\nHence
$$
\frac{AR}{RB} \cdot \frac{BP}{PC} \cdot \frac{CQ}{QA} = \frac{AS}{SX} \cdot \frac{SX}{SY} \cdot \frac{SY}{AS} = 1
$$
.

Remarks

The "Usual Proof" is adopted from *Geometry Revisited* by Coxeter and Greitzer (1967). Some of the students' proofs appear also in some geometry textbooks. For example, proofs similar to "Proof 1" and "Proof 2" are also presented in Posamentier's book *Advanced Euclidean Geometry* (2002).

One common point of all the proofs by the students is the use of auxiliary parallel lines on the triangle to relate ratios of segments to other ratios of segments. During the workshop, I encouraged the students to first assume some numerical values on the ratios *AR* : *RB* and *CQ* : *QA* and try to calculate the remaining ratio $BP : PC$ with the help of adding auxiliary

parallel lines. By this concrete computation, students were able to understand the relationships between ratios of the line segments on the triangle. The proofs then should be followed by generalizing *AR* : *RB* and *CQ* : *QA* to any arbitrary ratios.

The aim of this homework was to encourage students' participation in proving geometric theorems rather than memorizing proofs. I hoped that the students could develop some generic problem solving skills for future geometric problems.

Reference

Coxeter, H.S.M., Greitzer, S. L. (1967). *Geometry Revisited*. Mathematical Association of America.

Posamentier, Alfred S. (2002). *Advanced Euclidean Geometry*. Key College Publishing.

Email: whp@stpauls.edu.hk